

ASSESMENT of the final report by the NI National Agency

Outcomes have been achieved as planned?

The cooperation between partners, esp. between the NL partner and the French Coordinator was not good, and stopped at a certain moment towards the end of the project.

Therefore the results described in the application could not be reached, because there was no common agreement in this.

Cooperation and participation of partners were efficient?

There were huge problems in cooperation of partners

Evaluation and monitoring activities on the progress and impact of the partnership were carried out:

Partners tried to solve the problems, and made some evaluation and solution for it, but this did not work out.

Activities were in the line with the partnership's objectives?

SHP tried to do all activities as described in the project plan. However because there was no real European cooperation on this, it was difficult. So instead of following the project guidelines, they turned more towards describing the own vision. With some partners they still were working together, so some results are more internationally oriented than others. Especially Slovakia and Poland.

For students it was a great impact, although there was only exchange with one country. For teachers the impact was good, international activities always have a great impact on this group. For the organization the impact was small, because there was no good cooperation with partbner organizations. However a lot of time, energy and finances were spent on this project.

It is a clear and large report with annexes and products that can be seen on the own website of SHP

The cooperation within the project became impossible for the SHP. The partners were not capable to solve the problem

The cooperation within the project between the NL partner and (most) of the other partners, as well as with the French coordinator did not turn out well. It became more and more obvious, that a good cooperation was no longer possible or realistic to expect. Finally the cooperation ended.

There was continuously contact with the NL National Agency.

To stop the project was not an option, because a large number of project activities were executed quite well and all mobilities were used.

There is a large final report with products and other annexes. This cannot be seen as a common result of the project, but this shows very clearly that SHP had a great commitment to reach the results.

In spite of the conclusion that cooperation and commonly reached results are absolutely not sufficient, the participation of SHP is judged as "satisfactory" because SHP continuously tried to repair the cooperation between partners.